PHIL 360 Fall 2018

Theory of Knowledge

COURSE DESCRIPTION

In this course we examine eight major topics in contemporary epistemology:

- (1) Skepticism
- (2) The analysis of knowledge
- (3) Evidentialism vs. reliabilism
- (4) The value of knowledge
- (5) Testimony
- (6) Disagreement
- (7) Epistemic virtues and vices
- (8) Epistemic injustice

By examining these philosophical problems and the concepts involved, students will have a better understanding of the nature of human knowledge — what exactly is knowledge, whether we really have any knowledge of the external world, the value of knowledge over mere true belief, how knowledge and justification are related, why beliefs should be justified, how knowledge can be transmitted, what we should do when we disagree, and the moral aspects of knowledge.

TEXTS

23 articles by different authors. Will be posted on Blackboard Learn.

GRADING

Your course grade is based on the score you get out of <u>a total of 200 points</u>:

- 30 points for attendance: you may miss 3 classes with no penalty; after that, you lose 1 point for each class you miss unless you have very good reason for missing that class.
- (ii) 40 points for 2 article-summaries [20 points each].
- (iii) 80 points for 8 in-class quizzes [10 points each]. A quiz consists only of multiplechoice questions on an article or book chapter assigned for reading.
- (iv) 50 points for a final paper of about 1,500 words.

Grade conversion:

181-200 points: A	171-180 points: A-	161-170 points: B+
151-160 points: B	141-150 points: B-	131-140 points: C+
121-130 points: C	111-120 points: C-	101-110 points: D

100 and below: F

^{*} This class has no final exam.

PHIL 360 Fall 2018

LECTURE SCHEDULE

1st week Skepticism: Barry Stroud, "The Problem of the External World" (Chapter I of The Significance of Philosophical Scepticism) Anthony Brueckner, "The Structure of the Skeptical Argument" 2nd wek Skepticism: Anthony Brueckner, "The Structure of the Skeptical Argument" (continued) Fred Dretske, "Epistemic Operators" 3rd week The Analysis of Knowledge: Edmund Gettier, "Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?" Robert Fogelin, "Gettier Problems" 4th week Reliabilism vs Evidentialism: Alvin Goldman, "What Is Justified Belief?" 5th week Reliabilism vs Evidentialism: Earl Conee & Richard Feldman, "Evidentialism" Jason Baehr, "Evidentialism, Vice, and Virtue" 6th week The Value of Knowledge: Duncan Pritchard, "What Is the Swamping Problem?" Ward E. Jones, "Why Do We Value Knowledge?" 7th week The Value of Knowledge: Ward E. Jones, "Why Do We Value Knowledge?" (continued) Miranda Fricker, "The Value of Knowledge and the Test of Time" 8th week Testimony: Jennifer Lackey, "Testimony: Acquiring Knowledge from Others" Keith Lehrer, "Testimony and Trustworthiness"

PHIL 360 Fall 2018

9th week **Testimony**:

Keith Lehrer, "Testimony and Trustworthiness" (continued) Alvin Goldman, "Experts: Which Ones Should You Trust"

10th week **Disagreement**:

David Christensen, "Disagreement as Evidence: The Epistemology of Controversy"

Thomas Kelly, "The Epistemic Significance of Disagreement"

11th week **Disagreement**:

Thomas Kelly, "The Epistemic Significance of Disagreement" (continued)

Peter van Inwagen, "We're Right. They're Wrong"

12th week **Epistemic Virtues and Vices:**

Nancy Sherman & Heath White, "Intellectual Virtue: Emotions,

Luck, and the Ancients"

Christopher Hookway, "How to Be a Virtue Epistemologist"

13th week **Epistemic Virtues and Vices**:

Christopher Hookway, "How to Be a Virtue Epistemologist"

(continued)

Julia Driver, "Moral and Epistemic Virtue"

14th week **Epistemic Injustice**:

Miranda Fricker, "Epistemic Injustice and a Role for Virtue in the

Politics of Knowing"

David Coady, "Two Concepts of Epistemic Injustice"

15th week **Epistemic Injustice**:

David Coady, "Two Concepts of Epistemic Injustice" (continued)

Katherine Hawley, "Trust, Mistrust, and Epistemic Injustice"